

Translational Research: The Path from Discovery to Applications

Model organisms play an important role in both basic and applied research. The most highly developed plant model is *Arabidopsis thaliana*, a small plant in the mustard family (Fig. 4. Growth Rate Modification, p. 65). It was adopted as a model in the early 1980s because of its rapid life cycle, simple genetics, small genome (125 Mb), easy transformability, and traits typical of flowering plants in most respects. Genome sequencing was completed in 2000 by an international consortium, and about 13,000 researchers worldwide currently use the plant for studies of plant biology.

The *Arabidopsis* community has developed a suite of very powerful experimental resources that include several hundred thousand sequenced insertion mutations available through stock centers, a full-genome DNA chip that can be used to measure the expression of most genes, a high-density polymorphism map, and a heavily curated database (arabidopsis.org) that provides access to genomic information. These and other resources have resulted in an explosion of fundamental discoveries about all aspects of plant growth and development. In addition, several companies, founded to exploit knowledge gleaned from *Arabidopsis*, have translated the new information into such crop species as corn, soybean, and canola (see Fig. A. Drought Resistance in Canola Caused by Directed Modification of a Single Gene, below). Plant-improvement goals that were unattainable by applied research on crop plants have been realized by translating basic research on model species to crops.

Much accumulated knowledge about crop species and model organisms will be applicable to improving species suited for use as dedicated biomass crops. However, some traits of interest in that regard have not been a priority in crop research and are poorly understood. For instance, knowledge about cell-wall structure, function, and synthesis is very underdeveloped and will need extensive research. One important species suited for use as a biofuel is poplar, a model woody species that has a relatively small genome. Poplar was sequenced recently at the DOE Joint Genome Institute (see sidebar, Enhancing Poplar Traits for Energy Applications, p. 62).

The DNA sequence of many poplar genes is similar to that of corresponding *Arabidopsis* genes. This comparability will help link mechanistic knowledge about these species to a holistic understanding of common processes. Poplar is relatively easy to transform, which greatly facilitates experimental tests of theories about protein and gene function. Most important, poplar has several important traits, such as a long life cycle and the formation of wood, that cannot be studied in herbaceous plants.

Brachypodium distachyon is another potentially important model for such highly productive grasses as switchgrass and *Miscanthus*. Interest in *Brachypodium* arises because its very small genome has a DNA content about 2.5 times larger than that of *Arabidopsis*. Additionally, its simple growth requirements, small stature, self-fertility, and ready transformability make it well suited to become a model organism. Because *Arabidopsis* is distantly related to and differs from grasses in a number of important respects (e.g., cell-wall composition), *Brachypodium* could

become a powerful new model for cell and molecular biological studies of grasses. A high priority in facilitating the development of *Brachypodium* is sequencing its genome.




Fig. A. Drought Resistance in Canola Caused by Directed Modification of a Single Gene. [Photo ©2003 Monsanto Company. Used with Permission.]

chips, sequence-indexed mutations, facile transformation methods, and specialized libraries for methods including two-hybrid screens.

Brachypodium and *Populus* must be accelerated into powerful model systems by first sequencing *Brachypodium* and then creating extensive EST databases (i.e., 1 to 2 million per species) from diverse (including full-length) cDNA libraries for both organisms (Sterky et al. 2004). *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of both *Brachypodium* and *Populus* has been developed but must be optimized for high-throughput approaches to gene discovery. High-throughput capacity for transforming diverse genotypes would enable forward and reverse genetic approaches by generating collections of sequence-indexed insertional mutants, including but not limited to activation tagging (Groover et al. 2004, Busov et al. 2005), and by allowing targeted silencing or overexpression of large numbers of genes. Transposon-based methods for generating insertional mutants also should be developed. High-throughput resequencing capacities should be used for identifying molecular markers (e.g., SNPs) associated with biomass and bioenergy traits. Similarly, phenotyping (transcript and protein profiling) capacities that identify genotypes associated with relevant cell-wall composition or agronomic traits would be useful.

Using this suite of tools, the function of all *Brachypodium* and *Populus* genes will be evaluated for their contribution to biomass energy-relevant traits. Genes deemed relevant will be used to create advanced genotypes in energy crops. Public organism-specific databases should be created and curated in parallel with advanced experimental capabilities for these organisms.

To set research priorities, the DOE Office of Science's GTL program is interacting with the conversion program managed through EERE's Office of the Biomass Program and other interested parties (e.g., USDA, NSF, and private industry).

Technical Milestones

Within 5 years

- Complete *Brachypodium* genome sequencing, plus EST sequencing and molecular-marker identification for both *Brachypodium* and *Populus*.
- Optimize transformation methods for diverse genotypes of *Brachypodium* and *Populus*, and generate sequence-indexed insertion mutant populations of >100,000 events for both organisms.
- Develop tools for transcriptional and protein profiling and carry out first-stage profiling of key tissues (e.g., cambial development in *Populus*).
- Develop organism-specific databases around annotated genome sequences.

Within 10 years

- Identify genes relevant to biomass production (e.g., those that control cell-wall composition, nutrient uptake, carbon partitioning, flowering, stress tolerance, and disease resistance) using forward and reverse

genetic screens and natural populations based on high-throughput phenotyping [e.g., use Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (called FTIR) or other techniques that can be automated to identify genotypes with altered cell-wall composition and advanced image analysis to examine plant architecture].

- Develop and test strategies using these genes to improve energy crops in *Brachypodium* and *Populus*.

Within 15 years

- In breeding programs, use genes and strategies shown to affect biomass production and quality in *Brachypodium* and *Populus* to improve energy crops, either by transgenic approaches or by marker-assisted selection of naturally occurring variability in orthologous genes.
- Transfer improved energy crops and genetic information to the conversion program managed through EERE and the Office of the Biomass Program and to other interested parties (e.g., USDA, NSF, and private industry).

The Role of GTL Capabilities for Systems Biology

Capabilities of GTL (within DOE's Office of Biological and Environmental Research) will support or enable the attainment of lignocellulosic characteristics (see Lignocellulosic Biomass Characteristics chapter, p. 39) and feedstock objectives in numerous ways. Potential contributions of each of these, whether distributed or consolidated in a facility, are described below.

Protein Production Capabilities

Most enzymes of interest in cell-wall biosynthesis are thought to be membrane associated and, therefore, difficult to purify and characterize by conventional methods. Based on preliminary experiments with membrane-localized glycosyltransferases, a potentially powerful tool in characterizing the function of all plant glycosyltransferases is to express them in suitable hosts such as insect cells, in which endogenous activities will not interfere with assays. This capability could undertake the high-throughput expression of all glycosyltransferases and other cell wall-active enzymes (e.g., peroxidases and laccases) from higher plants (e.g., *Arabidopsis*, *Populus*, *Brachypodium*, or rice) or specific biomass species in one or more suitable surrogate hosts. Such expression systems will greatly facilitate function identification of enzymes that catalyze synthesis of cell walls. Heterologously expressed proteins would be tested for their substrate specificity and catalytic activity and also will be used as a resource for generating antibodies and tags.

Antibody resources would have multiple applications. A collection of fluorescent- or epitope-tagged proteins in a plant such as *Arabidopsis* or *Brachypodium* also would be very useful for a variety of reasons. First, the tagged proteins could be used to recover complexes for assay by mass spectrometric and imaging methods. Fluorescent tags could be utilized for cellular localization or collocation [e.g., confocal microscopy or fluorescence

resonance energy transfer (called FRET)]. Additionally, if certain proteins are not active in a surrogate, the tagged version in a plant host might be used to identify function by in vitro assays of affinity-purified proteins. Developing such a resource would require a high-throughput transformation capability that also would be useful for generating insertion mutants in *Brachypodium* and *Populus*.

Molecular Machines Capabilities

Cellulose is synthesized by an intricate multienzyme complex in the plasma membrane, and matrix polysaccharides probably are synthesized by multienzyme complexes in the Golgi. Understanding the function of these complexes and their interactions with metabolic pathways that produce sugar nucleotides in the cytosol will be important for understanding the control of polysaccharide biosynthesis. In addition, cellulose synthase interacts with the cytoskeleton to control the orientation of cellulose fibrils and possibly influence fibril length. Many questions about this and related processes will benefit from the application of new technologies for imaging and manipulating protein complexes. Since most or all such complexes are membrane bound, specialized methods must be developed to work with the complexes. Also, because plant cell walls are intricate mechanical assemblies, tools developed for investigating protein machines may be used to gain new insights into assembly and function of the large polysaccharide complexes that comprise cell walls.

Proteomic Capabilities

These capabilities will facilitate identification of proteins that make up complexes in such model plants as *Arabidopsis*, *Populus*, and other biomass crops. Since many complexes are membrane bound, innovative methods will be needed to purify membrane complexes using an array of capabilities. Also, because of poor correlation between mRNA and protein abundance, proteomic capabilities will enable documentation of the proteome of living cell types found in vascular and other tissues, including ray parenchyma and phloem, as a function of time and conditions. Proteomic analysis of plants with fully sequenced genomes will identify post-translationally modified proteins. Preliminary evidence indicates that some key proteins involved in cell-wall synthesis are regulated by modifications such as phosphorylation. Finally, comprehensive proteomic analysis coupled with imaging analysis is required to identify proteins located in such compartments as the cell wall, nuclear membrane, Golgi, and endoplasmic reticulum.

Cellular System Capabilities

These capabilities are envisioned to help investigators understand how complicated microbial communities in soils respond to various cropping regimes by developing baseline analyses of species composition and abundance in suitable experimental plots. Also needed are cost-effective tools (e.g., diagnostic DNA chips) for monitoring these and other plots over

long time periods and for integrating resulting data into a view of how biomass cropping alters soil ecology.

In addition to analyzing soil microbial communities, acquisition and analysis of transcriptomic and proteomic data from model and crop plants will be a powerful tool for assigning probable function to genes implicated in cell-wall synthesis. As a function for each enzyme emerges, the cellular systems capability through imaging and dynamical analyses will be used to develop a systems model to incorporate the wall's biophysical aspects with structural properties and knowledge of the functions of proteins involved in wall synthesis. This model will facilitate the rational development of feedstock species based on "design principles," in which the wall's chemical composition and structure are optimized while, at the same time, not compromising maximal plant productivity. The cellular systems' analytical and modeling resource and proteomic capabilities will carry out a systematic approach for identifying plant biomarkers to guide protein production and the generation of specific molecular tags utilizing protein production capabilities.

The long-term vision is to make available in situ images of living plant cell walls that show all key molecular processes occurring in real time. Studies will cover the full life cycle of cell-wall formation, maturation, transformation, dehydration, and processing into simple feedstocks for conversion into ethanol or production of other fuels and chemicals. The understanding obtained through research that uses such imaging is expected to result in quantitative, predictive modeling as a guide to developing advanced feedstocks and processing them into fuels. These tools will take advantage of various chemically specific imaging tags created with protein production resources.

DOE Joint Genome Institute

DOE JGI will sequence, assemble, and annotate the gene space or entire genomes of model and emerging bioenergy crops and will resequence additional cultivars or ecotypes for marker discovery. Identification of genes that control cell-wall polysaccharide synthesis or modifications in biomass species and the development of tools such as gene chips will depend on the availability of nucleotide sequences. Micro-RNAs are expected to play a role in expression control of many relevant genes, so DNA sequencing must be deep enough to identify them in these species. JGI also will sequence community genomes, including those of the rhizosphere associated with key proposed energy crops and trees.

Other Needed Capabilities

GTL capabilities as currently envisioned do not encompass all resources needed for development of energy crops. To accomplish objectives associated with the energy mission, the current vision should be expanded to include the three capabilities described below.

Transformation Services

Substantial capabilities will be needed to carry out transformation of model and applied species important to feedstock development on behalf of the R&D community and to warehouse genetic resources for these species.

Chemical Phenotyping Services

Feedstock improvement for increased yield and processing will require manipulation and assessment of multiple genes in candidate organisms or model systems. New tools are needed to detect, quantify, and compare changes in cell-wall composition and 3D architecture in primary and secondary walls during assembly and before and during processing. The tools would facilitate feedstock development for improved performance in biomass conversion. Detailed analysis of cell-wall composition by 2D nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) imaging or other methods is beyond the scope of routine processing in most research laboratories. Capabilities for such analyses would broadly facilitate research.

Synthetic Carbohydrate Chemistry

Analyses of cell walls in their native state and as a function of treatment and processing require advanced synthetic carbohydrate chemistry capabilities to create standards and models. NMR and mass spectroscopy facilities will be necessary to support the synthetic chemistry work.

Other Biofuel Opportunities: Development of High-Productivity Biodiesel Crops

To maximize solar energy use and storage, an ideal biomass crop would carry out photosynthesis at the theoretical maximum every day of the year and would store fixed carbon in a directly useful and easily harvestable form, even without mineral nutrients. In carrying out this process, many plants accumulate large amounts of oils or waxes in such specialized storage tissues as seeds or mesocarp tissues. In some cases, oil accounts for more than half the dry weight of these tissues. Most oilseed species, however, are not as productive as others such as maize that store primarily starch rather than oil. In theory, obtaining higher yields of oil should be possible by genetically modifying already highly productive species (e.g., sugar beet, potato, and maize) so they will accumulate oils or waxes instead of carbohydrates in their storage and vegetative tissues.

Other plants produced by genetic modifications of developmental processes accumulate oil in their roots (Ogas et al. 1997), suggesting the feasibility of this strategy. Acetyl-CoA carboxylase is the first committed step in fatty-acid biosynthesis in potato tubers, which accumulate starch almost exclusively. Overexpression of this enzyme resulted in a fivefold increase in triacylglycerol accumulation and provided an example of oil deposition in a carbohydrate-storage tissue (Klaus et al. 2004). However,

Decoding the DNA of Soybean—A Source of Biodiesel

DOE and the U.S. Department of Agriculture will support genome sequencing of the soybean as the first project in an agreement to share resources and coordinate studies of plant and microbial genomics. The soybean, *Glycine max*, is the world's most valuable legume crop and the principal U.S. source of biodiesel, a renewable alternative fuel. Diesel engines inherently are more thermodynamically efficient than combustion engines. Biodiesel has the highest energy content of any alternative fuel and is significantly more environmentally friendly than comparable petroleum-based fuels, since it degrades rapidly in the environment. It also burns more cleanly than conventional fuels, releasing only half the pollutants and reducing the production of carcinogenic compounds by more than 80%. Sequencing will take place at the DOE Joint Genome Institute, supported by DOE's Office of Science. The soybean genome is about 1.1 billion base pairs in size, less than half the size of maize and human genomes.

understanding how to reprogram plant cells to store oil rather than carbohydrate is very challenging. It requires not only large-scale changes in the complement of expressed metabolic enzymes but also changes in cellular structure typically associated with cell identity.

Because recovery of oil from plants is technically simple and efficient, processing oil-accumulating plant material on the farm may be possible so mineral nutrients and soil adjuvants can be retained at the farm for return to the soil (see sidebar, *Decoding the DNA of Soybean—A Source of Biodiesel*, p. 77). In principle, oil could be recovered during harvest by inexpensive screw-press technology, greatly reducing transport and processing costs and enhancing sustainability.

The lipids that comprise most plant oils are highly reduced forms of carbon and therefore represent the most energy-dense plant-storage compounds. Derivatives such as biodiesel can be produced easily by inexpensive and efficient conversion of plant-derived oil to fatty acid methyl esters. Production of high-yielding, oil-accumulating plants could result in a new biofuel source that would reduce loss of carbon associated with fermenting sugars to ethanol as well as costs associated with converting lignocellulosic feedstocks to liquid fuels. The plant that most nearly meets this description is oil palm (see sidebar, *Oil Palm*, this page).

Oil Palm: An Important Biofuel Plant

Oil palm, *Elaeis guineensis* Jacq., is a tropical tree species that produces bunches of oil-rich fruit resembling avocados. The plants grow in lowlands of the humid tropics (15°N to 15°S), where rainfall of 1800 to 5000 mm is evenly distributed throughout the year. Oil palms mature slowly but, once established, yield as much as 10.6 tonnes of oil per hectare (ha) per year, although the average is less than half that amount. They begin to bear fruit after about 3 years and remain in use for some 25 years, so annual maintenance costs are low.

Palm oil from the mesocarp, which contains 45 to 55% oil, is similar in composition to soy oil. Oil from palm kernels, extracted from the endosperm, contains about 50% oil rich in medium-chain fatty acids and well suited for biodiesel applications. Malaysia is the major source of these oils, producing 13.4 billion pounds of mesocarp oil and 3.5 billion pounds of kernel oil from about 3.8 million ha. Plants are harvested by hand, but typical planting density is only 150 plants/ha, and labor costs are not a major factor in production. Because of the relatively straightforward conversion of palm oils to diesel and food uses, palm acreage in the tropics is expected to expand significantly. [Picture source: C. Somerville, Stanford University]



Some questions to be addressed by basic research:

- How is cell identity controlled? More specifically, what high-level, regulatory genetic controls program a cell to express genes involved in lipid synthesis and accumulation?
- What regulates carbon flux from photosynthetic-source leaves to storage organs?
- What regulates metabolic partitioning of carbon among proteins, starch, and oil production?
- What species are most promising for conversion to oil accumulation? For instance, is oil potato a better candidate than oil beet or very high oil maize, or should plant stems be engineered to deposit extremely thick wax-rich cuticles?
- What are the opportunities for developing new high-yielding oilseed species tailored to energy production by uncoupling oil accumulation from seed carbohydrate or protein accumulation?
- What is the impact of high lipid levels on plant cells? Most cellular mechanisms operate in aqueous environments.

Cited References

- Albrecht, W. A. 1938. "Loss of Soil Organic Matter and Its Restoration," pp. 347–60 in *Soils and Men*, U.S. Department of Agriculture.
- Brown, G. R., et al. 2003. "Identification of Quantitative Trait Loci Influencing Wood Property Traits in Loblolly Pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) III. QTL Verification and Candidate Gene Mapping," *Genetics* **164**, 1537–46.
- Brunner, A. M., V. Busov, and S. H. Strauss. 2004. "The Poplar Genome Sequence: Functional Genomics in a Keystone Plant Species," *Trends Plant Sci.* **9**, 49–56.
- Busov, V. B., et al. 2005. "Insertional Mutagenesis in *Populus*: Relevance and Feasibility," *Tree Genet. Genomes* **1**, 135–42.
- Christiansen, P., et al. 2005. "A Rapid and Efficient Transformation Protocol for the Grass *Brachypodium distachyon*," *Plant Cell Rep.* **23**, 751–58.
- Draper, J., et al. 2001. "*Brachypodium distachyon*: A New Model System for Functional Genomics in Grasses," *Plant Phys.* **127**, 1539–55.
- EERE. 2003 (Review Draft). *Biomass Program Multi-Year Technical Plan*, U.S. DOE, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (http://devafdc.nrel.gov/biogeneral/Program_Review/MYTP.pdf).
- Fitter, A. H., et al. 2005. "Biodiversity and Ecosystem Function in Soil," *Funct. Ecol.* **19** (3), 369–77.
- Follett, R. F. 2001. "Soil Management Concepts and Carbon Sequestration in Cropland Soils," *Soil Tillage Res.* **61**, 77–92.
- Groover, A., et al. 2004. "Gene and Enhancer Trap Tagging of Vascular-Expressed Genes in Poplar Trees," *Plant Physiol.* **134**, 1742–51.
- Handelsman, J., et al. 1998. "Molecular Biological Access to the Chemistry of Unknown Soil Microbes: A New Frontier for Natural Products," *Chem. Biol.* **5**, R245–49.
- Klaus, D., et al. 2004. "Increased Fatty Acid Production in Potato by Engineering of Acetyl-CoA Carboxylase," *Planta* **219**, 389–96.
- Koonin, S. E. 2006. "Getting Serious About Biofuels," *Science* **311**, 435.
- Maskina, M. S., et al. 1993. "Residual Effects on No-Till Crop Residues on Corn Yield and Nitrogen Uptake," *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **57**, 1555–60.
- Neale, D. B., and O. Savolainen. 2004. "Association Genetics of Complex Traits in Conifers," *Trends Plant Sci.* **9**, 325–30.
- Ogas, J., et al. 1997. "Gibberellin Mediates the Transition from Embryonic to Vegetative Cell Identity During Germination of the *Arabidopsis* pickle Mutant," *Science* **277**, 91–94.
- Perlack, R. D., et al. 2005. *Biomass as Feedstock for a Bioenergy and Bioproducts Industry: The Technical Feasibility of a Billion-Ton Annual Supply*, DOE/GO-102005-2135, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee (http://feedstockreview.ornl.gov/pdf/billion_ton_vision.pdf).

- Rafalski, A. 2002. "Applications of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms in Crop Genetics," *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **5**, 94–100.
- Remington, D. L., et al. 2001. "Structure of Linkage Disequilibrium and Phenotypic Associations in the Maize Genome," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **98**, 11479–84.
- Sterky, F., et al. 2004. "A *Populus* Expressed Sequence Tag Resource for Plant Functional Genomics," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* (www.pnas.org/cig/reprint/101/38/13951).
- Tuskan, G. A., et al. In press 2006. "The Genome of Black Cottonwood, *Populus trichocarpa*," *Science*.
- Tuskan, G. A., S. P. DiFazio, and T. Teichmann. 2004. "Poplar Genomics is Getting Popular: The Impact of the Poplar Genome Project on Tree Research," *Plant Biol.* **6**, 2–4.
- Vogel J. P., et al. 2006. "Agrobacterium-Mediated Transformation and Inbred Line Development in the Model Grass *Brachypodium distachyon*," *Plant Cell Tiss. Org. Cult.* (www.springerlink.com, doi: 10.1007/s11240-005-9023-9).
- Wilhelm, W. W., et al. 2004. "Crop and Soil Productivity Response to Corn Residue Removal: A Literature Review," *Agron. J.* **96**, 1–17.

For Further Reading

- American Society of Plant Biologists. 2005. "President Bush Cites Importance of Plant-Based Biofuels," *ASPB News* **32** (4), 16–17.
- Bar-Joseph, Z., et al. 2003. "Computational Discovery of Gene Modules and Regulatory Networks," *Nat. Biotechnol.* **21**, 1337–42.
- Brown, G. R., et al. 2004. "Nucleotide Diversity and Linkage Disequilibrium in Candidate Genes Influencing Wood Formation in Loblolly Pine," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **101**(42), 15255–260.
- Brummer, E. C. 1999. "Capturing Heterosis in Forage Crop Cultivar Development," *Crop Sci.* **39**, 943–54.
- Busov, V. B., et al. 2005. "Genetic Transformation: A Powerful Tool for Dissection of Adaptive Traits in Trees," *New Phytol.* **167**, 219–28.
- Cardon, L. R., and J. L. Bell. 2001. "Association Study Designs for Complex Diseases," *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2**, 91–99.
- Del Grosso, S. J., et al. 2005. "DAYCENT Model Analysis of Past and Contemporary Soil N₂O and Net Greenhouse Gas Flux for Major Crops in the USA," *Soil Tillage Res.* **83**, 9–24.
- DiFazio, S. P. 2005. "A Pioneer Perspective on Adaptation," *New Phytol.* **165**, 661–64.
- Flint-Garcia, S. A., J. M. Thornsberry, and E. S. Buckler, IV. 2003. "Structure of Linkage Disequilibrium in Plants," *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **54**, 357–74.

Follett, R. F., et al. 2005. "Research and Implementation Needs to Mitigate Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Agriculture in the USA," *Soil Tillage Res.* **83**, 159–66.

Follett, R. F., J. Z. Castellanos, and E. D. Buenger. 2005. "Carbon Dynamics and Sequestration in an Irrigated Vertisol in Central Mexico," *Soil Tillage Res.* **83**, 148–58.

Franzluebbers, A. J. 2005. "Soil Organic Carbon Sequestration and Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Emissions in the Southeastern USA," *Soil Tillage Res.* **83**, 120–47.

Franzluebbers, A. J., and R. F. Follett. 2005. "Greenhouse Gas Contributions and Mitigation Potential in Agricultural Regions of North America: Introduction," *Soil Tillage Res.* **83**, 1–8.

Gregorich, E. G., et al. 2005. "Greenhouse Gas Contributions of Agricultural Soils and Potential Mitigation Practices in Eastern Canada," *Soil Tillage Res.* **83**, 53–72.

Harbison, C. T., et al. 2004. "Transcriptional Regulatory Code of a Eukaryotic Genome," *Nature* **431**, 99–104.

Hooker, B. A., et al. 2005. "Long-Term Effects of Tillage and Corn Stalk Return on Soil Carbon Dynamics," *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **69**, 188–96.

Hu, W.-J., et al. 1999. "Repression of Lignin Biosynthesis Promotes Cellulose Accumulation and Growth in Transgenic Trees," *Nat. Biotechnol.* **17**, 808–12.

Huggins, D. R., et al. 1998. "Soil Organic C in the Tallgrass Prairie-Derived Region of the Cornbelt: Effects of Long-Term Crop Management," *Soil Tillage Res.* **47**, 219–34.

Jawson, M. D., et al. 2005. "GRACEnet: Greenhouse Gas Reduction Through Agricultural Carbon Enhancement Network," *Soil Tillage Res.* **83**, 167–72.

Johnson, J. M. F., et al. 2005. "Greenhouse Gas Contributions and Mitigation Potential of Agriculture in the Central USA," *Soil Tillage Res.* **83**, 73–94.

Johnson, J. M. F., R. R. Allmaras, and D. C. Reicosky. 2006. "Estimating Source Carbon from Crop Residues, Roots and Rhizodeposits Using the National Grain-Yield Database," *Agron. J.* (in press).

Lal, R. 2004. "Is Crop Residue a Waste?" *J. Soil Water Conserv.* **59**, 136–39.

Larson, W. E., et al. 1972. "Effects of Increasing Amounts of Organic Residues on Continuous Corn. II. Organic Carbon, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Sulfur," *Agron. J.* **64**, 204–8.

Liebig, M. A., et al. 2005. "Greenhouse Gas Contributions and Mitigation Potential of Agricultural Practices in Northwestern USA and Western Canada," *Soil Tillage Res.* **83**, 25–52.

Linden, D. R., C. E. Clapp, and R. H. Dowdy. 2000. "Long-Term Corn Grain and Stover Yields as a Function of Tillage and Residue Removal in East Central Minnesota," *Soil Tillage Res.* **56**, 167–74.

- Mann, L. K., V. R. Tolbert, and J. Cushman. 2002. "Potential Environmental Effects of Corn (*Zea mays* L.) Stover Removal with Emphasis on Soil Organic Matter and Erosion: A Review," *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **89**, 149–66.
- Martens, D. A., et al. 2005. "Atmospheric Carbon Mitigation Potential of Agricultural Management in the Southwestern USA," *Soil Tillage Res.* **83**, 95–119.
- Mukherjee, S., et al. 2004. "Rapid Analysis of the DNA-Binding Specificities of Transcription Factors with DNA Microarrays," *Nat. Genet.* **36**, 1331–39.
- Nelson, R. G. 2002. "Resource Assessment and Removal Analysis for Corn Stover and Wheat Straw in the Eastern and Midwest United States—Rainfall and Wind-Induced Soil Erosion Methodology," *Biomass Bioenergy* **22**, 349–63.
- Nordborg, M., et al. 2002. "The Extent of Linkage Disequilibrium in *Arabidopsis thaliana*," *Nat. Genet.* **30**, 190–93.
- Strauss, S. H., et al. 2004. "Ten Lessons from 15 Years of Transgenic *Populus* Research," *Forestry* **77**, 455–65.
- Thornsberry, J. M., et al. 2001. "*Dwarf8* Polymorphisms Associate with Variation in Flowering Time," *Nat. Genet.* **28**, 286–89.
- Tong, A. H. Y., et al. 2004. "Global Mapping of the Yeast Genetic Interaction Network," *Science* **303**, 808–13.
- U.S. DOE Office of Science. 2005. "Making Bioethanol Cost Competitive," DOE Genomics:GTL Program, Systems Biology for Energy and Environment (www.doegenomestolive.org/pubs/Bioethanol10_27_05_8.5.pdf).
- Venter, J. C., et al. 2004. "Environmental Genome Shotgun Sequencing of the Sargasso Sea," *Science* **304**, 66–74.
- Vogel, K. P. 2004. "Switchgrass," pp. 561–88 in *Warm-Season (C4) Grasses*, ed. L. E. Moser, L. Sollenberger, and B. Burson, ASA-CSSA-SSSA Monograph No. 45, Madison, Wis.
- Vogel, K. P. 2000. "Improving Warm-Season Grasses Using Selection, Breeding, and Biotechnology," pp. 83–106 in *Native Warm-Season Grasses: Research Trends and Issues*, ed. K. J. Moore and B. Anderson, Crop Science Special Publication No. 30, Crop Science Society of America and American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wis.
- Vogel, K. P., and B. Burson. 2004. "Breeding and Genetics," pp. 51–96 in *Warm-Season (C4) Grasses*, ed. L. E. Moser, L. Sollenberger, and B. Burson, ASA-CSSA-SSSA Monograph No. 45, Madison, Wis.
- Vogel, K. P., and H. G. Jung. 2001. "Genetic Modification of Herbaceous Plants for Feed and Fuel," *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* **20**, 15–49.
- Walsh, M. E., et al. 2003. "Bioenergy Crop Production in the United States," *Environ. Resour. Econ.* **24**(4), 313–33.